

70/34

Rome, October 30, 1970

To all Superiors General
 To their delegates for SEDOS
 To all members of the SEDOS group.

This week:

Page

BRIEFING SESSION/SESSION D'ORIENTATION: Le Supérieur Général du FSC et son Assistant en partance pour l'Afrique Occidentale invitent les Généralats intéressés aux pays suivants: H. Volta, Mali, Togo, Cameroon, Dahomey, Niger, à se rendre chez lui pour un échange d'informations sur ces pays. 773

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE: The minutes of the last meeting: evaluation of the Credit Union Seminar, stepping up the live exchange of information and opinion, elections for February 1971. 774

SEDOS-MISEREOR: Up to date List of Applicants. 783

ADULT EDUCATION: Announcement of a Seminar. 786

CODEL: A referral and advisory service on development projects. 787

NEW DOCUMENT: To Heal the Sick. 790

DIARY: 791

Briefing Session of French West Africa (IN FRENCH)

5 - 11 - 1970, 16.00, at FSC Generalate.
 Justitia et Pax group 6 - 11 - 1970, 10.00, at SJ Generalate.

Nous invitons tous les Généralats à prévenir SEDOS du passage éventuel de quelques missionnaires revenant du Mali et Sénégal dans le but d'une prochaine réunion "Mali - Sénégal" qui aura lieu à SEDOS le Mardi 17 Novembre 1970.

Sincerely yours,

Benjamin Tonna
 Executive Secretary.

SEDOS 70/773

Institut des Frères
des
Ecoles Chrétiennes
476, Via Aurélia- Tél. 62.01.01
ROMA

Le Supérieur Général

26 Octobre 1970

INVITATION AUX CONGREGATIONS, MEMBRES DE SEDOS

Le Frère Bernard Merian, Assistant, et moi-même, nous visiterons nos confrères de : NIGER, MALI, Hte-Volta, CAMEROUN, DAHOMEY, TOGO du 19 Novembre au 23 Décembre.

Nous serions très heureux de parler avec quelques autres Supérieures ou Supérieurs qui ont visités un ou autre de ces pays récemment, ou avec un autre membre d'une Congrégation de SEDOS qui a une connaissance spéciale de ces pays.

Nous vous invitons cordialement à un thé (ou café ou autre boisson) et à une table ronde, Jeudi le 5 Novembre à 16 Heures, dans notre Maison Généralice, 476 Via Aurélia, (devant Domus Mariae et Seminario Pio Latino).

Autobus: 46, 49, 50 à Piazza Irnerio

8 à Via Aurélia.

246, 146, 346, 446 à Largo Boccea (Circon valazione Cornelia)

98, 98c à Piazza di Villa Carpegna

Nous vous serons très reconnaissants pour votre assistance et collaboration.

Fraternellement le Vôtre,

F. Charles Henry, FSC

A meeting of the Executive Committee of SEDOS was held on October 21, 1970, at 09.00 at the Secretariat.

Present were: Bro. Ch. H. Buttner, fsc; Sr. M. Keenan, rsh; Fr. J. Power, sma; Fr. F. Sachett, omi; Mo. Th. Walsh, osu.

From the WGs: Sr. A.M. de Vreede, scmm-m; Sr. M. Panevska, scmm-m.

In the Chair: Sr. J. Gates, scmm-m.

From the Secretariat: Fr. B. Tonna.

1. The minutes of the preceding meeting (70/623) were taken as read and approved.
2. Post mortem on the Credit Union Seminar, Sr. M. Panevska, chairman of the WG for Development (and of its Task Force for the Credit Union Seminar) presented an evaluative report on the experience of the Credit Union conference (see Appendix A). During the discussion which followed, the following points were made:
 - a) It would be useful to "translate" the message of the conference in non technical language in order to make it accessible to the field missionaries. Fr. Tonna agreed to prepare a three page draft of a simple presentation of the achievements of the conference which Superiors General and Assistants could distribute during their visits and thus inform missionaries about the opportunities offered by the credit union movement. The Generalates could make other uses of the presentation in their effort to follow up the Rome conference. The idea of such a presentation had come from the WG Development - (whose Task Force would also examine the draft).
 - b) The Committee noticed that CUNA Int. had appointed Fr. Van den Dries as "progress chaser" of the conference and that it was proceeding to ensure the services of three "implementors", one each for Africa, Asia and Latin America. It was agreed to endorse the appointments of Fr. Van Den Dries for one year, provided this did not involve further obligations on SEDOS. Other specific proposals from the sponsors of the conference would be studied by the WG Development, who would then report to the Executive Committee.

- c) As regards the results of the conference, it was agreed that Fr. Van Den Dries objective of a plan for the deployment of members of the SEDOS Institutes in the Credit Union movement was not achieved. This was due to the process of decentralization. The best way of formulating such a plan could be regional seminars, to which Provincial Superiors could be invited. It seemed that at the Rome level, seminars should aim at consensus on values rather than at detailed action programmes.
- d) The conference, however, did achieve a clarification of what is involved in the development process. By focusing on one of its aspects, the conference helped to show the Superiors General and their staffs some of the reasons why field missionaries tend to "go overboard" for development.
- e) Another positive side of the conference was the practical lesson it offered the Generalates on how to cooperate - among themselves and with other agencies. Cooperation remains a process which has to be learned "by doing".
- f) On the negative side was the scarce participation, in the actual discussion of what has come to be called the Generalates (-that is, Superiors General, their Assistants, their staff). It had been naive to expect these to turn up in September (still a holiday period) and to offer their contribution to the discussion on the last day (plenaries of Friday). But the real reason seemed to be the considerable departure, by the Sponsors, from the original focus of a dialogue between field missionaries and the Generalates. It had been noticed that the presence in the study groups of credit union leaders, of credit unions sponsors and of other categories, inhibited and practically silenced the Generalates represented there.
- g) It was therefore imperative that, should SEDOS be involved in other seminars, it should ensure that it be involved in setting the objectives, in deciding on the invitation list and in general, be very active at each stage of the preparatory process.
- h) Basically the question which SEDOS has to ask itself before becoming involved in organizing other seminars was: What should be the mode of our participation? SEDOS was for participating in seminars but did not yet see clearly which was the best way of doing it. The credit union experience, however, had established some firm points:
 - i) SEDOS should not become involved if it is only expected to take care of the logistics.
 - ii) The Secretariat was not geared to handle such logistics on its own. As in the past, so in the future, it would have to work for and with an ad hoc task force, recruited from the Generalates.

- i) In order to clarify this issue of its mode of participation, SEDOS would send a simple questionnaire to the member Generalates. This could be combined with the circulation of the presentation of the outcome of the conference (see above 2 b). SEDOS would then suggest to Fr. V. Den Dries, another questionnaire to be sent to all participants in the conference in April 71 in order to provide fresh elements for its evaluation.
- j) The Credit Union experience presented a useful opportunity to evaluate the performance of their Secretariat. Fr. B. Tonna presented a memorandum (see Appendix B) on the adjustments which were deemed necessary after. The memorandum had been prepared in consultation with the Conference Task Force. It was approved on a trial basis until the December meeting of the Executive Committee, when Fr. Tonna would report on the outcome.

3. Decisions on the Health Services Seminar

Sr. A.M. de Vreede presented a progress report about the preparations for the Health Services Seminar, due for November 1970. A Task Force of three: Sr. A.M. de Vreede, Sr. M. Keyes, scmm-t and Miss Capes had been formed. The decision of this group not to take more than 80 participants was confirmed. It was also agreed to confirm the earlier decision that SEDOS be responsible for the logistics of the seminar.

4. Circulation of opinion and information

The discussion of the points raised by the Assembly on September 15, 1970 and summarized in its minutes (70/739-740) led to the following conclusions;

- a) the circulation of opinion and information among the member Generalates should no longer be circumscribed by the written form (documentation in the strict sense). The verbal form, already introduced, should be stepped up. Superiors General Assistants and their staff were very interested in this mode of communicating among themselves.
- b) The best way to activate the idea of briefing sessions (70/740 d - iv) was to try it. Bro. Ch. Henry would soon be visiting West Africa and he offered to invite those members of the SEDOS Generalates who knew the countries where he was going and ask for their opinion - and their information. The SEDOS Secretariat would perform an enabling function (announce the session, prepare documents, circulate reports etc) but the session would be held at the FSC Generalate. A report would be given to the December Assembly.
- c) The same pattern could be used for "reporting sessions" - that is, in cases where a Superior General (or Assistant) back from a visit,

would like to compare his impressions and his conclusion with those of other Generalates active in the countries he had visited. Again, the Secretariat would perform an enabling function.

- d) A different kind of session would be organized to discuss "hot issues" (70/740 - d:). The Secretariat should be on the alert, in its contacts with the Generalates, to detect signs of current concerns. These signs could indicate where the "hot issues" lie. As soon as one is detected, the Secretariat should call a "skull session" to work on it. These two or three people would then decide on the best way of organizing the session. The panel method could be considered. In any case, the services of a resource person should always be ensured. Some examples of such issues were given: catechists, African noviciates, diversified ministries. The Assembly would eventually be asked to decide on which issues to follow through and with which priority.
- e) The idea of a "live in" (70/740) could be developed into the initiative of a SEDOS seminar "for itself" - that is, a seminar for SEDOS Superiors General and Assistants and staffs. The essential purpose would be of bringing such persons together, in a situation where they can share and find moral support. Three or four problem areas could be proposed to the Generalates. One could be the common problem of "internal" communications (ie how a Generalate can communicate with the members of its Institute).

5. Consideration of the timing of the next SEDOS elections

It was agreed to call the elections of the SEDOS officers during the February 1971 Assembly.

In the meantime, the December Assembly could clarify the articles on the Statutes as regards such elections (art 8c and 10). The reference to the term of office of the Councillors was clear, though it could be presumed that it was similar to that of the Presidency (3 years). In this case, continuity was not sufficiently ensured. This could be remedied by electing this time the Presidency for 3 years, two Councillors for 2 years and two Councillors for one year. The possibility of re-election (and how often) is not sufficiently clear. It would also be simpler to transfer one Vice President to Councillor, thus making the Executive a group of three officers (President, Vice President, Treasurer) and 4 Councillors (2 men and 2 women).

6. It was agreed

- a) That, following the request of the Secretariat for the promotion of Christian Unity, Fr. Tonna should represent SEDOS on the enlarged committee of the Division of World Mission and Evangelism, due to meet in Geneva during the second week of November.

- b) that, following the request of Justitia et Pax, SEDOS should be represented on the Round Table of aid Agency, due to meet in December; the selection of the three representatives would be made by the Development group - Task Force for Justitia et Pax.
- c) that Fr. Tonna should represent SEDOS at the Education Seminar, in Rome, November 16-19, 1970.
- d) that Misereor should be asked to reimburse \$750 for secretarial and other services for the Personnel Development Programme.
- e) that the next meeting of the Executive Committee be held on November 16, 1970 at 09.00 at the Secretariat.

APPENDIX A.

Report to SEDOS - on the DO IT YOURSELF DEVELOPMENT Seminar

From Sr. Maryann Panevska, 14 October 1970

For the Working Group on Development and the Executive Committee.

- 1) The seminar is finished. Sedos has done its part in the organization and has sent to CUNA and CRS a draft of the final report as agreed. Now it is up to CUNA to edit and print this (English and French) and send it to all on the registration list - also 100 copies to SEDOS for the Generalates, plus a supply of copies for distribution on request (possibly write price).
 - 2) Follow up -
 - a- It is up to each of the groups to implement the seminar in their own way and the groups could keep each other informed.
- DOES SEDOS SEE ANY WAY OF IMPLEMENTING IT?
- b- At the close of the seminar an informal consensus seemed to emerge among the representatives of the sponsors that one person or organization take the responsibility for initiating a process of implementation of the seminar. A statement of this was sent to all the sponsors.
- It is informally known that Fr. Van den Dries could become available to be "progress chaser" for implementation of the seminar, and CRS would finance this. He would only accept the post if all the sponsors want it. It is expected that CUNA will propose this in writing to all the sponsors. Once such a proposal would be received by SEDOS, it would be referred to the Executive Committee.

- b- Rev. J. Benton Rhoades said he would ask the board of directors of Agricultural Missions Inc. to accept this proposal and he would also try to get a salary for a Latin America promotor.
- c- Rev. J. Benton Rhoades asked that the sponsors invite the World Council of Churches to join with them in future implementation of the Seminar, and a letter was written to the WCC and signed by J.B. Rhoades, Fr. Van den Dries, and Fr. B. Tonna.
- d- Other ideas for follow up:
 - That a copy of the report with a special letter go to all Church leaders thru acceptable channels
 - regional seminars
 - continue communication among participants
 - circulate regular reports
 - issue a small pamphlet about the seminar
 - get an evaluation of seminar after 6 months

3) Evaluation of Seminar

The morning following the close of the seminar an informal meeting was held to evaluate it. Present were: J. Van den Dries, J. Benton Rhoades, B. Tonna (sponsor representatives), M. Keenan, Thomas More Page, Pablo Basterrechea, Thomas Stanley (Sedos moderators and secretary), Harold Boyle, Frank Moody, Al Hubenig, John Schotte, M. Panevaska (WGD Task Force). Unfortunately, Mr. Bailey of CUNA, and Fr. Brossard representing Catholic Rural Life were absent.

J. Schotte summarized for the group the comments received from the participants in the suggestion box. The group then discussed these and other points. Summary:

a- Scope of the Seminar

Lack of clarity in the aim; the 3 groups involved (missionaries, sponsors, generalates) had somewhat different aims; there was a communication gap between them and the aim was not adequately and clearly explained to the participants either before the seminar or at the opening session as scheduled.

Today we deal with felt needs - and so we should have asked the participants beforehand what they consider their needs and plan the goal of the seminar accordingly.

It was the feeling of several that the scope was too narrow - an international level seminar cannot discuss practical things like action programs but should discuss philosophy, reasons, trends, long-term future, etc. The Latin America group, for example, wasn't interested in looking for more men but wanted to govern their affairs in order to be a real influence on social structures and problems.

Further, it was felt that area action planning of the type expected is not the sort of thing which interests generalates or wins their support.

Fr. Van den Dries said that if he knew in advance that the seminar would turn out as it did (emphasizing development principles etc) he would not have asked CRS to fund it, but feels that Sodepax is the group to organize this type of thing.

Question from M. Panevska: Should SEDOS, in fact, be organizing this type of seminar?

It was suggested that an evaluation of the seminar by those involved should be sought after six months, and this may give a better picture of its real effectiveness.

WHAT DOES SEDOS THINK OF THIS?

b- Participants

The Latin America group was very good working size; all about the same level; very good harmony in all discussions. Their concern was with social involvement, the philosophy of the priesthood, etc. They seemed happy with the seminar itself but doubtful about whether there would be follow-up -- they would feel it a real success if a secretary-promotor would be named for Latin America. They were not interested in the generalates' participation.

Asia group was large but homogenous and well qualified. They were very happy with the seminar; felt they know better now where to go, who are the people involved, what their links are. Some questioned the expense. Felt there should have been more local meetings first so that they could benefit more from an international meeting.

Africa group was large and very broad range of different levels of experience, background, interests. There were several with personal self-interests which hindered group somewhat. Lack of French participation due to lack of adequate translation service (which had been discussed before the seminar but miscalculated). They divided into small groups but the non-expert groups felt weak, and those sent to help them felt unsatisfied. Group seemed to appreciate its learning experience of group dynamics and chairmanship. Seemed to go away happy -- but perhaps because of their human experience. Also seemed happy they got across some ideas to themselves and others: ecumenical aspect, training need, let it grow rather than impose.

Generalates - poor attendance at study groups (one suggested this was blessing!) Questionable whether the preliminary meetings served to involve generalates. Questionable whether "selling" approach good. Perhaps the seminar was "saved" for the generalates because the main issue was development itself. In the beginning of any movement you have to be practical, but when the movement grows, you have to go back to principles.

The evaluating group felt that this seminar was not specifically worthwhile for the generalates, but was worthwhile for them because of its overall effect.

c- Study Groups

Very good organization - providing outside moderator, secretary, assistant. Good dynamics and involvement. Suffered from not knowing from the beginning what kind of report was expected of them, and at what time.

4) Logistics (M. Panevska's evaluation - full group lacked time to discuss)

Good points

Planning of accommodations, meeting rooms and facilities, travel arrangements. Housing.
Preparing and sending of preliminary documents.
"Entertainment" (refreshments, tours)
Press coverage excellent but blocked by international events (Nixon and Nasser)

Weak points

Translators missing first night and miscalculated for study groups.
Secretariat understaffed for typing, distributing, etc.
Registration.
Settling payments for room and board (lack of and changing of decisions by sponsors), meal tickets.

5) Staff coverage of seminar

4 Sedos paid secretarial staff
1 Sedos member staff (Sr. Agnetta)
1 Fr. Tonna.

Outside loud-speaker technicians (3 days)
Outside translators
1 hired typist (4 days)
(OMI Kitchen and household paid staff)

4 Sedos Task Force members from Generalates
1 Sedos press man from Generalate
2 OMI students for house management

3 moderators from generalates
2 secretaries from generalates

+ additional generalate member services (translations, driving, etc).

} All these full-time
all-day, every day.

} for 4 study-group
sessions

APPENDIX B

THE SECRETARIAT - 21.10.1970

Suggestion for improvements

1. The Secretariat is there to
 - a) Ensure the continuous exchange of information and opinion on missionary issues among the member Generalates
 - b) promote cooperation among the member Generalates, by ensuring secretariat services to the Assembly, the Executive Committee, the various groups
2. Given present resources the most effective way to ensure this seems to be:

The Executive Secretary progressively delegates routine matters in order to devote more time to developing sharing of information and opinion.

The Assistant for the English speaking groups assumes responsibility for routine matters and for the execution of current projects entrusted to the Secretariat. She decides how and by whom these jobs will be done; she will be helped by two clerk typists (who will receive instructions only from her

- a) one will be assigned to typing - English and French
- b) one will run mimeograph, handle mailings, produce weekly bulletin

The Assistant for the French speaking groups continues to be responsible for the Accounts (reporting directly to the Executive Secretary) and for the PWG programme (reporting to Fr. Groskortenhuis) and for the secretariat services of the French speaking groups.

The Assistant for Documentation "generates" the circulation of information and ideas through frequent personal contacts with the Generalates. He edits the weekly bulletin. He selects the documents to be stored at the Secretariat.

3. The Staff works as a team:

Every Tuesday and Thursday, the E.S. and the 3 assistants, during the staff meeting:

- report on what each has done during the preceding days
- see what business is still outstanding and how it can be covered
- decide on new jobs: If and how they can be covered.

New work is undertaken only if All four agree that it can be handled. No one would assist with, or interfere with, the others' work unless all four agree to do this.

A record of changes, improvements, problems is kept. A report is prepared for the Executive Committee in December on how the above plan is activated or could be improved.

SEDOS-MISEREOR-PERSONNEL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME

Report of the Director, Bro. V. GOTTWALD, to the W.G. Development, 16.10.70

Name & Congregation	Diocese & Country	Course of Studies	Place of Studies	Duration	Cost of Scholarship	Personal Contribut.	Work after Studies	State of request
---------------------	-------------------	-------------------	------------------	----------	---------------------	---------------------	--------------------	------------------

I. APPLICATIONS

GRANTED

Sr. Pilar GONZALEZ	Malalos Bulacan Philippines	Post-doctoral studies in educ. administration	Harward Univrs.	1 year Sept. 70	\$:4,554	Return travel	Epis. Conf. Philippines Development (not in P.D.P) work	Accepted July 31.1970
Mr. Gaspard MABUNDA	Kinshasa-Congo	Economics	Univrs. Namur	5 years Oct. 1969	\$:4,000	Travel both ways	Epis. Conf. Kinshasa	Accepted Nov. 1969
Fr. Jean BOLENGO	Inongo-Congo	Sociology & anthropology	Louvain Oxford	2 years Oct. 67	\$:2,400	Travel both ways	Epis. Conf. Inongo	Accepted Nov. 1969

II. APPLICATIONS

PENDING

Fr. Auguste COLOMBO	India Warangal diocese	Social science	London Missionary Inst. & Swansea		\$:1,000	Travel both ways	Diocesan Director Catholic Charities	Submitted to P.D.P. Jan. 1970
Fr. Galo MONTANO	Palo Philipp.	Agricultural business course	Univrs. of Philip. Laguna	1 year 5 years July 70	\$:10,000	None	Agricultu. development diocese of Palo	Submitted to P.D.P. 25th May 70

Name	Monza-	Post.grad.dipl.	Univers.of	1 year	\$:	\$:863	Community	Submitted to
Fr.Desmond O'BRIEN S.J.	Monza- Africa	Social Policy & Administrat.	Swansea	Sept.70	1,700		Development work in Zambia	P.D.P. on 9.10.70
Fr.Dillon MALONE S.J.	Monza	Social Sciences Master's degree	Birmingham (U.K.)	2 years Oct.70	£: 600	£:200	National Pastoral Centre Zambia	Submitted to P.D.P on 9.10.70
Bro.Hugo SUHARTO (Bro.of Immac. Conception)	Semarang B.A. in Indonesia agriculture		Xavier Univ. de Cagayan de Philippines	3 years	\$:3,958	None	Agricult. School in Java	Submitted to P.D.P. 10.10.70
Fr. Eduardus Van DE WALLE	Brasilia Brazil	Sociology stress on education	Louvain Univ.	1 year	Fl:3715	FL:1000	Educational Planning for EPis. Conf.	Submitted to P.D.P. 5.10.70
Bro.John Ealred BUSHELL F.S.C.	Madurai India	Development Administra- Master's degree	American Univ. Beirut	1 year	£:1,285	None	Incomplete	
Bro.Francisco José PARAJON F.S.C.	Managua Nicara- gua	Sociology & social psychology	Catholic Inst. Paris	3 years	\$:1,950	\$:830	In service of Conf.of Religious	Submitted on 5.10.70
Fr. René Claude ANDRIANTHAJA S.J.	Fiana- rantsoa	Mass media Communic.	I.R.F.E.D. Paris R.A.I.Rome	2 years	NF:15000	all Tr.	Incomplete	
Fr.J.Paul WEIST	Kuala- Lumpur Malaysia	Eastern Sciences	University Washington Far Eastern Inst.Seattle	2 years	\$:1,300	all.Tr. per annum	In charge of Chinese Intellect. community in Malaysia	Incomplete

Mr. Africanus B. OKON	Lagos Nigeria	Social Communications	Marquette Univ.	Incomplete
Bro. Telmo MEIRONE, fsc	Buenos-Aires Argentine	Social Communications		Incomplete
Fr. Marco SALAS O.P.	Quito Ecuador			Incomplete

III. APPLICATIONS

REFUSED

Fr. Edouard KILESHYE	Lubumbashi Congo	Economics	Geneva Univ.	3 years Oct. 68	Fr. B. 10,000 per month
Mf. Geoffrey SILAWWE	Zambia	Social Welfare	Oxford Univ. England	2 years Oct. 70	£: 1,020
Bro. J. A. Bedoya VALENCIA, fsc	Medellin Colombia	Psychology	Salamanca Univ. Spain	2 years	\$: 2,500 per year all travel
Mr. Marcus Felix MOELJONO	Semarang Indonesia	Business Management	Social Comm. Centre. Manila	1 year Oct. 70	\$: 1,680
Mr. Enrique Alcides PONCE DE LEON F.S.C.	Buenos-Aires Argentina	Education	Santiago de Chile		
Bro. German KEMERER, fsc	Buenos-AIRES Argentina	Education	Santiago de Chile		

Roma, 17 de setembro de 1970

Como secretária do Seminário do Professor Paulo Freire em Roma, de 17 a 19 de abril de 1970, encaminho-lhe hoje as notas desse curso.

Aproveito a oportunidade para comunicar-lhe que o Professor se prontificou a fazer em Roma mais uma sessão intensiva sobre o tema "Ação cultural", nos dias 20, 21 e 22 de novembro de 1970.

Patrocinada pelo Adveniat a sessão se destina principalmente, mas sem exclusividade, a religiosas e eclesiásticos estudantes em Roma originários da - América Latina ou lá pretendendo trabalhar.

Na certeza de que sua Instituição está interessada no problema da pro dessa oportunidade de atualização.

Pela comissão organizadora

Sr. Ines Periera Leite

Secretária

N.B.- Para maiores informações podem dirigir-se a Ir. Ines - Via della Camilluccia, 567 - 00135 Roma; Tel. 32 76 846

CURSO INTENSIVO DO PROFESSOR PAULO FREIRE

Roma, 20, 21 e 22 de novembro de 1970

LOCAL - Colégio Pio Latino Americano
Via Aurelia, 511 (ao lado da "Domus Mariae")
Tel. 622 46 41

HORARIO -20 de novembro das 21 às 23 hs.
21 de novembro das 9 às 12 e das 16 às 19 hs.
22 de novembro das 9 às 12 hs.

METODO -Exposição do tema
Diálogo com p professor
Discussão em plenário.

TEMA -"Ação cultural e alfabetização de adultos".

INSCRIÇÕES - A aula de 20 de novembro é aberta a todos.
Para participar dos trabalhos dos dias 21 e 22 haverá um talão de ingresso de £1.000 que será exigido para acesso à sala .

.....
Fiche de inscrição para os dias 21 e 22 de novembro de 1970

NOME
IDENTIDADE (Sacerdote, Religioso, Leigo)
PROFISSÃO
ENDEREÇO e TELEFONE

CODEL

PROMOTING PARTNERSHIP IN PROGRESS FOR PEOPLE

The need for greater coordination among the mission societies within the field of development has been recognized for some time. The first attempt to meet this need in the United States began in July 1969 when a number of mission-sending societies and other private non-profit groups established a cooperative office in New York City.

In addition to acting as a clearing-house for proposed development projects this office has developed a questionnaire to facilitate the description of possible projects, then integrates these proposals for socio-economic assistance. Presentations are then developed by this office for seeking financial assistance from major funding agencies.

In December 1969 this development office became incorporated as a private non-profit membership corporation known as CODEL (Cooperation in Development).

All organizations involved with human development and self-help efforts will benefit from the operations of CODEL. The members who make up this organization will gain the expertise of a professional staff to assist in effective preparation of projects for their funding. Developing countries will be better served through greater coordination and cooperation among existing national and regional councils as they channel their efforts through CODEL. Foundations, corporations, and government agencies that are asked to provide funds for projects will have the assurance that proposals submitted through CODEL have been carefully studied to insure that the projects meet the area's priority needs and that duplication of effort is minimized.

Through CODEL, a major effort has begun to effectively utilize the personnel resources of the mission societies and voluntary agencies in the field of development assistance. Members have borne the initial expense of bringing CODEL into being. Growth in membership and an increase in the number of projects submitted to CODEL are welcomed. The efforts of CODEL are expected to be self-supporting within two years.

CODEL's auditors are Price, Waterhouse Company. Legal counsel is provided by Bingham, Dana and Gould of Boston, Massachusetts. The corporation is tax exempt under Section 501 (c) (3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954.

CODEL

ACTIVE MEMBERS

CATHOLIC RELIEF SERVICESMr. George Wolf
 MARIST MISSIONSPaul A. Chaisson, S. M. .
 MARYKNOLL FATHERS.....John F. Donovan, M. M.
 JESUITS.....Joseph W. Conyard, S.J.
 FRANCISCAN MISSIONARIES.....A. McInerney, O. F. M.
 MILL HILL FATHERSJ. Courtney, M. H. M.
 MEDICAL MISSION SISTERS Sister Jean Lorenz
 ST. JAMES SOCIETY Rev. I. O'Shea
 HOLY CROSS FATHERS A. A. Fell, C. S. C.
 COLUMBAN FOREIGN MISSION SOCIETY Rev. R. Steinhilber
 NATIONAL CATHOLIC RURAL LIFE CONFERENCE Msgr. E. O'Rourke
 P.I.M.E. Rev. Nicholas Maestrini
 MARYKNOLL SISTERS..... Sister Rita Bonin
 MEDICAL MISSIONARIES OF MARY..Sr. M. Ann Elizabeth Comiskey, M.M.M.
 UNITARIAN UNIVERSALIST SERVICE COMMITTEE ... Richard A. Steckel
 DIVISION OF OVERSEAS MINISTRIES Ellsworth G. Stanton, III
 UNITED CHURCH BOARD OF WORLD MINISTRIES

ASSOCIATE MEMBERS

ST. PATRICK FATHERS Rev. P. Cullen
 FRANCISCAN SISTERS Mary Yvonne, O. S. F.
 TECHNOSERVE, INC. Edward P. Bullard, IV

April 27th, 1970.

TECHNOSERVE

IDENTIFICATION SHEET FOR SMALL BUSINESS PROJECTS

Technoserve wants to know of projects which:

- have the potential for turning into profitable business
- will meet local community need; and
- will have broad based ownership.

If you know of such a project opportunity, please give brief answers to the questions below. While Technoserve will make effort to investigate the project, we cannot give assurance that it will be implemented since it may not meet all of Technoserve's criteria. Technoserve does not make grants to projects; it provides management and other services. It will help to raise investment capital, however.

THE PROJECT

Project Name:

Project description:

Benefits to community:

Does the project have the potential for being a profitable business?

What is the projected annual sales volume (U.S. dollars)?

THE ENTREPRENEUR (What individual or group in the developing country will provide the leadership and drive necessary to make the project a success?)
Name and address of entrepreneur or group:

Who will own the business? (Give name(s) and the number of people)

PROJECT COSTS (We are interested mainly in projects which require a total capital investment of from \$20,000 to \$150,000 - total of loans and equity)
Total funds required for land, building, equipment, inventory, etc. (U.S. dollars):

Amount of local equity that can be provided (at least 25% of the total funds needed to start the project should come from local individuals in the form of cash or in kind contributions):

OTHER INFORMATION

Your name, address and organization:

Can you or others that you know of help with this project?

Whom should we contact for further information?

Name and address of organization you are affiliated with in U.S. (if any):

Technoserve, Inc.
P.O. Box. 90, Greenwich, Conn.
06830
U.S.A.
Phone (203) 661-4277.

NEW DOCUMENTS

To Heal the Sick:

A look at the medical Apostolate

The papers of the Seminar held at the Pastoral Institute, Bodija, Ibadan, Nigeria, May 16 - 20, 1970. 101 pp. Mimeographed, no price indicated.

Contents:

-- Opening	Chief (Mrs. A.A. Adesidu.
-- Christ and Healing	Fr. Peter Daffy sma.
-- The Theology healing	Fr. J. McKenna sj.
-- The Sacraments	Fr. Peter Brady sma.
-- Health and Healing	Dr. T.O. Mulligan.
-- African attitude to disease and healing	Rev. E.A.A. Adegboro.
-- Sickness and death	Sister Aine Cox OLA.
-- Curative and preventive medicine	Prof. A.O. Lucas.
-- Rural Health	Margaret Woodland.
-- Save the Children	Michele Le Jossee.
-- Religions and Politics in Medicine	Fr. J. O'Connel sma.
-- New perspectives for medical religious	Sister Dr. M.M. Nolan mmm.

DIARY

- 26 - 10 - 1970 Meeting on the Justitia et Pax Round Table
at the Secretariat.
- Sister M. Linscott, SNDN elected President
of the UISG.
- 27 - 10 - 1970 Meeting of the Haute Volta group..
- Mr. Frings sees Fr. Van Asten pa, and Fr.
Tonna on the Sedos-PWG Programme.
- 28 - 10 - 1970 Meeting of the WG Education in the Missions.
- Mother Goncalvez, RSHM elected Vice President
of the UISG.
- Meeting of the Task Force for the November
Health Seminar at the OMI Scholasticate and
at the SCMM-M Generalate.
- Fr. Mullin, author of **the** Church in Africa,
visits the Secretariat.
- 29 - 10 - 1970 Fr. Tonna sees Mother Coleman, Superior General
of the Maryknoll Sisters, in Rome for the UISG
Assembly.